1	UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTIC	E
2	CIVIL DIVISION JOSEPH H. HUNT	
	Assistant Attorney General	
3	RUTH A. HARVEY Director	
4	KIRK MANHARDT Deputy Director	
5	MÁTŤHEW J. TROY (GABN 717258)	
6	Senior Trial Counsel P.O. Box 875	
7	Ben Franklin Station Washington, DC 20044-0875	
	Telephone: (202) 514-9038	
8	E-mail: matthew.troy@usdoj.gov	
9	DAVID L. ANDERSON (CABN 149604)	
10	United States Attorney	
11	Attorneys for the United States of America	
	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
12		SISCO DIVISION
13	In re:	
14)	Bankruptcy Case
15	PG&E CORPORATION	No. 19-30088 (DM)
16	- and -	Chapter 11
	PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC	(Lead Case)
17	COMPANY,	(Jointly Administered)
18	Debtors.	Data: Dagamhar 17, 2010
19		Date: December 17, 2019 Time: 10:00 a.m.
20	☐ Affects PG&E Corporation	Place: United States Bankruptcy Court 450 Golden Gate Avenue
	☐ Affects Pacific Gas and Electric Company)	Courtroom 17
21	■ Affects both Debtors	San Francisco, CA 94102 Judge: Hon. Dennis Montali
22	All papers shall be filed in the Lead Case, No. 19-30088 (DM).	RE: Docket No. 4760
23	17-50000 (DM).	
24	STATEMENT OF THE UNITED ST	CATES OF AMERICA IN RESPONSE TO THE
25		REGARDING CONFIRMATION ISSUES
	The United States of America, on behalf of various federal agencies, hereby responds to the	
26		
27	Court's Memorandum Regarding Confirmation Issues ("Memorandum") (Dkt. No. 4760) entered	
28	November 15, 2019. In the Memorandum, the Co	ourt, among other things, requested principal counsel

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 5087 Filed: 12/12/19 Entered: 12/12/19 13:27:04 Page 1 of 5

for the Debtors, the two official committees and the Ad Hoc Noteholders Committee, and the Ad Hoc Subrogation Claim Holders to meet and confer on an agreed confirmation timetable that is similar to the Debtors' existing proposed timetable (Dkt. No. 4388-1) but accelerates it as much as possible.

Memorandum at 3, para. 3. The Court also asked counsel to identify any other confirmation related issues that the Court could address through briefing and argument prior to final approval of a disclosure statement. *Id.* at 4, para. 4. Specifically, the Court asked whether it "should fix an early deadline for confirmation objections based on the requirements of 11 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(1), (2), (3), (4), (5) and (13)?" *Id.*

The United States is aware that the Debtors have filed their *Motion Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§* 363(b) and 105(a) and Fed. R. Bankr. P. 6004 and 9019 for Entry of an Order (I) Authorizing the Debtors and TCC to Enter into Restructuring Support Agreement with the TCC, Consenting Fire Claimant Professionals, and Shareholder Proponents, and (II) Granting Related Relief (Dkt. No. 5038) (the "RSA Motion"), in which they seek approval of a restructuring support agreement (the "RSA") between them and, among others, the Official Committee of Tort Claimants ("TCC"). The United States reserves all rights with respect to the RSA Motion but notes that the RSA requires the Debtors to file an amended plan by December 12, 2019, reflecting the RSA's terms and conditions. As of the filing of this statement, however, the United States has not reviewed such amended plan and accordingly, references to the plans herein are to the Noteholders/TCC Plan (Dkt. No. 4257) and the Debtors' Joint Plan (Dkt. No. 4564).¹

While not identified in the Memorandum as one of the parties to meet and confer, the United States files this statement to alert the Court to potential issues with both plans. Various United States agencies have filed wildfire related claims aggregating approximately \$4.3 billion and many other

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 5087 Filed: $12/12/19^2$ Entered: 12/12/19 13:27:04 Page 2 of

¹ The United States' initial review of the RSA seems to indicate that the issues raised herein will also apply to the Debtors' amended plan to be filed December 12.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

non-wildfire related claims in these cases.

The United States is generally not opposed to the Court setting an early deadline for certain confirmation objections. However, in doing so, the Court should account for the fact that each of the plans before the Court is missing critical elements.

- Potential Unequal Treatment of Claims. Neither plan explains whether there will be one wildfire trust or multiple trusts "to administer, process, settle, resolve, liquidate, satisfy, and pay" wildfire claims. Noteholders/TCC Plan, Art. 1, § 1.179; Debtors' Joint Plan, Art. 1, § 1.128. The possibility of more than one trust raises the possibility of wildfire trusts funded in different amounts with different wildfire claims channeled to unequally funded trusts with different claims resolution procedures. This potential difference in the treatment of wildfire claims implicates several of the subsections of section 1129. The plan proponents must clarify whether their plans will create one or more wildfire trusts before the Court sets an early plan objection deadline as suggested in its Memorandum.
- Trust Governance and Operation. Neither plan attaches nor otherwise provides any meaningful description of the trust agreements or the claims resolution procedures that will govern the resolution, liquidation, and payment of claims from their respective wildfire trusts. Noteholders/TCC Plan, Art. 1, §§ 1.78 and 1.79, and 1.80; Debtors' Joint Plan, Art. 1, §§ 1.127, 1.128, and 1.130. Nor does either plan provide the identities of the trustee(s) for the wildfire trust or the members of the committee or board to oversee the trusts. Noteholders/TCC Plan, Art 1, §§ 1.81 and 1.82; Debtors' Joint Plan, Art. 1, §§ 1.129 and 1.131. Under the two plans, the plan proponents will provide this critical information just fourteen days before the confirmation objection deadline (when they file their respective Plan Supplements). Noteholders/TCC Plan, Art 1, § 1.154; Debtors' Joint Plan, Art. 1, § 1.139. Such short notice may be justified where a supplement includes only schedules of rejected

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 5087 Filed: 12/12/19 ³ Entered: 12/12/19 13:27:04 Page 3 of

contracts and debtor-retained causes of action, new organizational documents, and identity of new officers. Here, though, that document will contain detailed information regarding the treatment of wildfire creditors' claims, including (i) how creditors assert wildfire claims against the trust(s), (ii) procedures for resolving those claims, (iii) who will decide how claims are resolved, and (iv) the form of consideration for satisfaction of those claims. Fourteen days is clearly insufficient for purported wildfire creditors, like certain United States' agencies, to review a likely lengthy and detailed trust agreement and claims resolution procedures to assess the treatment of its claim and decide whether to object to that treatment. In particular, given the size of the its claims, the United States is entitled to ensure that the trust is governed by neutral and experienced trustees. Accordingly, the Court should not impose a plan confirmation objection deadline in the absence of such necessary information. If it is nevertheless inclined to set an early objection deadline for those subsections, then it should also require the plan proponents to file the trust agreements, claim resolution procedures, and the identities of the trustee(s) and members of the oversight committee at least thirty days before that early objection deadline.

These issues likely implicate confirmation objections based on the requirements of 11 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(1), (2), (3), and (5). The United States reserves all rights with regard to objections to confirmation of either plan.

Date: December 12, 2019 Respectfully submitted,

JOSEPH H. HUNT Assistant Attorney General DAVID L. ANDERSON (CABN 149604) United States Attorney

/s/ Matthew J. Troy
RUTH A. HARVEY
Director
KIRK MANHARDT
Deputy Director
MATTHEW J. TROY
Senior Trial Counsel
Attorneys for the United States

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 5087 Filed: 12/12/19 ⁴ Entered: 12/12/19 13:27:04 Page 4 of

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on December 12, 2019, I electronically filed the foregoing Statement with the Clerk of the Court by using the CM/ECF system, which will send a notice of electronic filing to all CM/ECF participants.

/s/ Matthew J. Troy Matthew J. Troy Senior Trial Counsel Attorney for United States

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 5087 Filed: 12/12/19 ⁵ Entered: 12/12/19 13:27:04 Page 5 of